IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE

WRIT PETITION NO.2320 OF 2004

Shri. Parag Chittaranjandas Deshmukh ..Petitioner.
Vs.
Shri. Ramu Deoram Rokade & Ors. .. Respondents.

....

Mr. K.S. Patil h/f Mr. P.N. Joshi for the petitioner.
Mr. A.P. Vanarase, AGP for respondent Nos.3 and 4.

....

CORAM : S. RADHAKRISHNAN &
DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, JJ.

23rd November, 2004.

P.C. :

1. Rule, made returnable forthwith. Learned AGP waives service on behalf of respondent Nos.3 and 4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned AGP for respondent Nos.3 and 4. By consent taken up for hearing and final disposal. In view of the limited reliefs which we are inclined to grant, the presence of respondent Nos.1 and 2 is not necessary.

2. The petitioner has preferred an application dated 1st October, 2003 to respondent No.3 – the Tahsildar, Jalapur Region, Taluka

and District Nashik, for the purpose of entering his name in the 7/12 extract. It appears that respondent No.3 has still not decided the same. In the aforesaid facts and circumstances, we direct respondent No.3 - the Tahsildar, Jalapur Region, Taluka and District Nashik to decide the said application dated 1st October, 2003, being Exhibit D to the petition, as expeditiously as possible, unless it has already been decided, within a period of three months.

Rule is made absolute in the aforesaid terms.

S.RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.